NCSS Statistical Software NCSS.com

### Chapter 410

# Hotelling's Two-Sample T2

## Introduction

The two-sample Hotelling's T2 is the multivariate extension of the common two-group Student's t-test. In a t-test, differences in the mean response between two populations are studied. T2 is used when the number of response variables are two or more, although it can be used when there is only one response variable. The null hypothesis is that the group means for all response variables are equal.

*T*2 makes the usual assumptions of equal variances and normally distributed residuals. Preliminary tests are provided that allow these assumptions to be evaluated. *Randomization tests* are provided that do not rely on these assumptions. These randomization tests should be used whenever you want exact results that do not rely on several assumptions.

### **Technical Details**

### **Equal Covariance Case**

The two-sample T2 is used to test the equality of the mean vectors of two populations. Specifically, suppose a set of p response variables  $Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_p$  is measured for each of two groups. Assume that population 1 is distributed as  $N_p(\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$  and population 2 is distributed as  $N_p(\mu_2, \Sigma_2)$ , where  $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$  is the p-variable multivariate normal distribution with mean vector p and covariance matrix p. The null hypothesis that p can be tested using the test statistic

$$T2 = \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2} (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)' S_{pl}^{-1} (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)$$

where  $\bar{y}_1$  and  $\bar{y}_2$  are the two sample mean vectors,  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are the two sample sizes, and  $S_{pl}^{-1}$  is the inverse of the pooled covariance matrix which is calculated using

$$S_{pl} = \frac{(n_1 - 1)S_1 + (n_2 - 1)S_2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

Here,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are the estimated covariance matrices calculated from the two samples.

If we make the additional assumption that  $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2$ , T2 follows Hotelling's T-squared distribution when the null hypothesis is true. That is,  $T2 \sim T_{p,n_1+n_2-2}^2$ . Reject the null hypothesis if  $T2 \geq T_{1-\alpha,p,n_1+n_2-2}^2$ . Note that rejecting the null hypothesis concludes that at least one pair of the p sets of group response means are unequal.

### **Unequal Covariance Case**

When the experimental setting or a preliminary test such as Box's M test leads us to conclude that  $\Sigma_1 \neq \Sigma_2$ , an alternative to T2 must be used. Several such multivariate Behrens-Fisher tests have been suggested in the statistical literature. Following the suggestions of Rencher (1998) derived from a large simulation study, we use the procedure suggested by Nel and van der Merwe (1986) since it was shown to have near optimal power while maintaining reasonable type-I error rates. The test statistic is computed using the formula

$$T * 2 = (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)' \left(\frac{S_1}{n_1} + \frac{S_2}{n_2}\right)^{-1} (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)$$

 $T^*2$  is approximately distributed as  $T^2_{v,v}$  where v is given by

$$v = \frac{tr\left[\left(\frac{S_{1}}{n_{1}} + \frac{S_{2}}{n_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{S_{1}}{n_{1}} + \frac{S_{2}}{n_{2}}\right)\right] + \left[tr\left(\frac{S_{1}}{n_{1}} + \frac{S_{2}}{n_{2}}\right)\right]^{2}}{\frac{tr\left[\left(\frac{S_{1}}{n_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{S_{1}}{n_{1}}\right)\right] + \left[tr\left(\frac{S_{1}}{n_{1}}\right)\right]^{2}}{n_{1} - 1} + \frac{tr\left[\left(\frac{S_{2}}{n_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{S_{2}}{n_{2}}\right)\right] + \left[tr\left(\frac{S_{2}}{n_{2}}\right)\right]^{2}}{n_{2} - 1}}$$

## **Randomization Test**

Because of the stringent assumptions that must be made when using this procedure, **NCSS** also includes a randomization test as outlined by Edgington (1987). Randomization tests are becoming more and more popular as the speed of computers allows them to be computed in seconds rather than hours.

A randomization test is conducted by enumerating all possible permutations of the sample data, calculating the test statistic for each permutation, and counting the number of permutations that result in a T2 value greater than or equal to the actual T2 value. Dividing this count by the number of permutations tried gives the significance level of the test.

For even moderate sample sizes, the total number of permutations is in the trillions, so a Monte Carlo approach is used in which the permutations are found by random selection rather than complete enumeration. Edgington suggests that at least 1,000 permutations be selected. We suggest that this be increased to 10,000.

Permutation results are provided for the equal covariance case, the unequal covariance case, and for all individual t tests.

#### Hotelling's Two-Sample T2

# **Assumptions**

The following assumptions are made when using T2.

- 1. Each population follows the multivariate normal distribution.
- 2. The two samples are independent.
- 3. The two covariance matrices are equal.

These are a set of restrictive assumptions that must be evaluated for each set of data. Box's *M* test may be used to test whether two covariances matrices are equal. Unfortunately, the accuracy of Box's *M* test is very sensitive to departures form multivariate normality (assumption 1).

### **Data Structure**

The data must be entered in a format that places the response variables and values for the group side by side. An example of the data structure for a Hotelling's T2 design is shown below. In this example, WRATR and WRATA are the two response variables. Treatment is the group variable. Note that this database has a fourth variable, Disability, which is ignored in this analysis. This database is stored in the file MANOVA1.

#### **MANOVA1** Dataset

WRATR	WRATA	Treatment	Disability
115	108	1	1
98	105	1	1
107	98	1	1
90	92	2	1
85	95	2	1
80	81	2	1
100	105	1	2
105	95	1	2
95	98	1	2
70	80	2	2
85	68	2	2
78	82	2	2
89	78	1	3
100	85	1	3
90	95	1	3
65	62	2	3
80	70	2	3

# Example 1 - Hotelling's Two-Sample T2 Test

This section presents an example of how to run an analysis of the MANOVA1 data shown earlier. In this analysis, two groups are to be compared on two variables: WRATR and WRATA.

### Setup

To run this example, complete the following steps:

#### 1 Open the MANOVA1 example dataset

- From the File menu of the NCSS Data window, select **Open Example Data**.
- Select MANOVA1 and click OK.

#### 2 Specify the Hotelling's Two-Sample T2 procedure options

- Find and open the **Hotelling's Two-Sample T2** procedure using the menus or the Procedure Navigator.
- The settings for this example are listed below and are stored in the Example 1 settings file. To load
  these settings to the procedure window, click Open Example Settings File in the Help Center or File
  menu.

Response Variables	WRATR-WRATA
Group Variable	Treatment
Run Randomization Tests	Checked
Monte Carlo Samples	1000
Random Seed	3183150 (for reproducibility)
Reports Tab	
Means and Std. Deviations	Checked
Hotelling's T2 Report	Checked
Individual Variables Report	Checked
Student's T-Test Report	Checked
Confidence Intervals	Checked
Homogeneity of Variance Tests	Checked
Within-Group Correlation\Covariance	Checked
Covariance Eigenvalues	Checked
Correlation Eigenvalues	Checked
VC Determinants	Checked

#### 3 Run the procedure

• Click the **Run** button to perform the calculations and generate the output.

### **Descriptive Statistics Section**

### **Descriptive Statistics**

	Me	ans	Standard	Deviations
Variable	1	2	1	2
WRATR	99.8889	78.3333	8.2832	8.0467
WRATA	96.3333	78.1111	9.7468	10.9481
Count	9	9	9	9

This report provides the means, standard deviations, and counts of each group. Look the values over to be certain that the right variables were selected.

# **Hotelling's T2 Test Section**

#### **Hotelling's T2 Test Section**

Covariance Assumption	T2	DF1	DF2	Parametric Test Prob Level	Randomization Test Prob Level
Equal	31.640	2	16.0	0.0003	0.0020
Unequal	31.640	2	15.9	0.0003	0.0020

The randomization test results are based on 1000 Monte Carlo samples with a random seed of 3183150.

This report gives the results of the two T2 tests: first for equal, and then for unequal, covariance matrices.

#### **Covariance Assumption**

Indicates the type of *T*2 test displayed on this line. Either *Equal* or *Unequal* are possible. Even though you actually use only one of these two tests, both are reported here.

#### T2

The values of the two test statistics are shown in this column. The top value is  $T^2$ . The bottom value is  $T^2$ . Note that these values will be equal if the two sample sizes are equal.

#### DF1

This is the number of response variables.

#### DF2

For the top line, this is  $n_1 + n_2 - 2$ . For the bottom line, this is the value of v.

#### Parametric Test Prob Level

This is the significance level of the test. If this value is less than 0.05, we say that the test was significant at the 0.05 level. If the value is less than 0.01, we say that the test was significant at the 0.01 level. This result is accurate if all the assumptions of the corresponding test are met.

#### Hotelling's Two-Sample T2

#### Randomization Test Prob Level

This is the significance level of the randomization test. If this value is less than 0.05, we say that the test was significant at the 0.05 level. If the value is less than 0.01, we say that the test was significant at the 0.01 level.

This result is an exact result even if the data were not obtained by random sampling. The result is accurate even if the response variables were binary.

### **Individual Variables Section**

Variable Omitted	T2 Others	Prob Level	T2 Change	Prob Level	T2 Alone	Prob Level
WRATR	13.909	0.0018	17.731	0.0093	31.357	0.0000
WRATA	31.357	0.0000	0.283	0.7688	13.909	0.0018

This report provides information about the influence of each of the individual response variables on the overall *T*2 value. This is accomplished by calculating the change in *T*2 when a response variable is omitted.

#### Variable Omitted

This is the name of the variable shown on this line of the report.

#### T2 Others

This is the value of *T*2 calculated with all response variables except the variable listed to the left.

#### **Prob Level**

This is the significance level of the T2 value shown on the report to the left of this value.

#### T2 Change

This is the amount that  $T^2$  is reduced when the response variable shown on this line is omitted.

#### **Prob Level**

This is the significance level of the T2 change value shown on the report to the left of this value. It is computed using the fact that the change in T2 is related to an F distribution using the formula

$$F_{\alpha,1,n_1+n_2-2-(p-1)} = \frac{T_p^2 - T_{p-1}^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2 + T_{p-1}^2}$$

Note that this quantity tests the drop in T2 when a variable is removed, conditional on the other response variables that are included. Another way of looking at this quantity is that it tests whether the variable omitted significantly increases the distance between the two populations. See Rencher (1998) page 109 for further details.

#### T2 Alone

This is the value of *T*2 calculated when only this response variable is used. It is the square of the common *t* test. It is the two-sided test of the null hypothesis that the means for this variable are equal, ignoring all other variables.

#### **Prob Level**

This is the two-sided significance level of the above T2 value.

#### Student's T-Test Section

#### Student's T-Test Section

	T2 or	Parametric Test Prob	Randomization Test Prob
Variable	Student's T	Level	Level
All (T2) WRATR WRATA	31.640 5.600 3.729	0.0003 0.0000 0.0018	0.0020 0.0010 0.0030

The randomization test results are based on 1000 Monte Carlo samples with a random seed of 3183150. These individual t-test significance levels should only be used when the overall T2 value is significant.

This report provides the results of individually conducting a two-sided t-test on each of the response variables. You might think that since there are a series of p t-tests being employed, a Bonferroni adjustment should be applied to the significance levels. However, if these individual tests are only considered when the overall T2 is significant at the same level, such as 0.05, then their significance levels are "protected" by the T2 test and the unadjusted significance levels given here can be used.

#### **Variable**

The variable whose results are presented on this line. Note that the first line gives the overall results for T2.

#### T2 or |Student's T|

The first line is the value of *T*2. The other lines are the two-sided Student's *t*-test values.

#### Parametric Test Prob Level

These are the significance levels of the test statistics given to the left. Note that if the individual tests are only used when the overall test is significant, these significance levels are accurate even though several individual tests are made. The multivariate *T*2 test is said to "protect" the significance levels of the individual tests.

#### **Randomization Test Prob Level**

These are the results of randomization tests that are run on each of the variables. These tests are exact when the Monte Carlo sample size is large, say over 5000. These tests should be used when there is even a hint that the regular assumptions of the *t*-tests are not valid. For example, this significance level is accurate even when the response variable takes on binary values (the *t*-test assumes a continuous, normal response variable).

#### Hotelling's Two-Sample T2

Note that these values will change from run to run. As you increase the number of Monte Carlo samples, these values will become more and more stable. You may have to go as large as 100,000 before the results remain the same from run to run. This instability is due to the use of a random sample of all the trillions of permutations that are possible. As you increase the Monte Carlo sample size, you reduce the sampling error (and greatly increase the time it takes to generate the results).

### Confidence Intervals for the Mean Differences

Variable	Difference	Lower 95.0% Bonferroni Conf. Limit	Upper 95.0% Bonferroni Conf. Limit	Lower 95.0% Simultaneous Conf. Limit	Upper 95.0% Simultaneous Conf. Limit
WRATR	21.5556	12.0365	31.0747	10.7665	32.3446
WRATA	18.2222	6.1396	30.3048	4.5277	31.9168

This report provides confidence intervals for the differences between the group means for each response variable. Two intervals are provided: Bonferroni and simultaneous.

#### Variable

The variable whose results are presented on this line.

### Difference

The actual difference between the means for the corresponding response variable.

#### **Bonferroni Confidence Interval**

Bonferroni confidence intervals are based on the formula

$$\bar{y}_{1j} - \bar{y}_{2j} \pm t_{\alpha/2p, n_1 + n_2 - 2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{n_1 + n_2}{n_1 n_2} s_{pl, jj}\right)}$$

This formula is derived by applying a Bonferroni adjustment to the regular univariate confidence interval. This adjustment is made by dividing the alpha level by p, the number of such intervals to be created. These intervals are usually not as wide as the simultaneous intervals, yet still have an appropriate adjustment because of the multiple intervals that are being created.

#### Simultaneous Confidence Interval

Simultaneous confidence intervals are based on the formula

$$\bar{y}_{1j} - \bar{y}_{2j} \pm \sqrt{T_{1-\alpha,p,n_1+n_2-2}^2 \left(\frac{n_1+n_2}{n_1 n_2} s_{pl,jj}\right)}$$

This formula is derived from a formula for confidence intervals for *any* linear combination of the mean differences, including those that are generated after looking at the data. Because of this, these confidence intervals are extra wide and may not be of must use.

NCSS.com

## **Bartlett-Box Homogeneity of Variance Tests**

Variable(s) Tested	Test Value	DF1	DF2	F Approx	F Prob	Chi2 Approx	Chi2 Prob
Box's M Test							
ALL	0.153	3	46080	0.044	0.9877	0.133	0.9877
Bartlett Indiv	idual Varia	ble Tests	5				
WRATR	0.007	1	768	0.006	0.9367	0.006	0.9368
WRATA	0.108	1	768	0.101	0.7503	0.101	0.7505

This report provides a preliminary test of the assumption of equality of covariance matrices. If the data fails this test, you should use the unequal variance version of the *T*2 test or the randomization test. The calculation of these tests is documented in the Technical Details section of the Equality of Covariance Matrices chapter and will not be repeated here.

Box's *M* test is very sensitive to non-normality. A significant value indicates either unequal covariance matrices, non-normality, or both. Hence, it is important to establish multivariate normality before concluding unequal covariance matrices using Box's *M* test.

The Chi-square approximation should be used when all group sample sizes are greater than 20 and p is less than 6. Otherwise, the F approximation is more accurate. **NCSS** supplies both the multivariate Box's M test and the individual Bartlett's tests so that when Box's M test is significant, you can determine which variables contribute to the variance.

### **Covariance and Correlation Matrix Determinants**

Treatment	Log of Covariance Determinant	Correlation Determinant
1	8.3863	0.6730
2	8.4949	0.6301
All	8.4502	0.6528

This report gives the logarithm (base e) of the determinant of each of the covariance matrices and the determinant of each of the correlation matrices. The assumption of equality of covariance matrices forces us to also assume that these values are equal. Box's M test compares these values.

## **Eigenvalues of Covariance Matrices**

#### 

This report gives the eigenvalues of each of the individual covariance matrices as well as the pooled covariance matrix. Each column gives a set of eigenvalues. These eigenvalues summarize the covariance matrix into a few values. By comparing the largest eigenvalues across both groups, you can determine if the groups are different. Also, eigenvalues near zero indicate singularities in your data.

### **Eigenvalues of Correlation Matrices**

		Treatment	
Number	1	2	All
1	1.5718	1.6082	1.5893
2	0.4282	0.3918	0.4107

This report gives the eigenvalues of each of the individual correlation matrices followed by the eigenvalues of the within-group correlation matrix. Each column gives a set of eigenvalues.

This report is useful because the eigenvalues summarize the correlation matrix. By comparing the largest eigenvalues across all groups, you can determine if the groups are different. Also, eigenvalues near zero indicate singularities in your data.

# Within Group Correlations\Covariances

#### Within Group Correlation\Covariance For Treatment = All

	Var	iable
Variable	WRATR	WRATA
WRATR WRATA	66.6806 0.5893	49.8750 107.4306

#### Within Group Correlation\Covariance For Treatment = 1

	Vari	able
Variable	WRATR	WRATA
WRATR WRATA	68.6111 0.5718	46.1667 95.0000

#### Within Group Correlation\Covariance For Treatment = 2

	Variable	
Variable	WRATR	WRATA
WRATR WRATA	64.7500 0.6082	53.5833 119.8611

This report displays correlations and covariances. The covariance matrices were labeled  $S_{sp}$ ,  $S_1$ , and  $S_2$  in the formulas given earlier in this chapter. The correlations are shown in the lower-left half of the matrix and the covariances are shown on the diagonal and in the upper-right half of the matrix.