Chapter 410

Hotelling’s Two-Sample T2

Introduction

The two-sample Hotelling’s T2 is the multivariate extension of the common two-group Student’s t-test. In a t-test, differences in the mean response between two populations are studied. T2 is used when the number of response variables are two or more, although it can be used when there is only one response variable. The null hypothesis is that the group means for all response variables are equal.

T2 makes the usual assumptions of equal variances and normally distributed residuals. Preliminary tests are provided that allow these assumptions to be evaluated. Randomization tests are provided that do not rely on these assumptions. These randomization tests should be used whenever you want exact results that do not rely on several assumptions.

Technical Details

Equal Covariance Case

The two-sample T2 is used to test the equality of the mean vectors of two populations. Specifically, suppose a set of p response variables $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_p$ is measured for each of two groups. Assume that population 1 is distributed as $N_p(\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$ and population 2 is distributed as $N_p(\mu_2, \Sigma_2)$, where $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ is the p-variable multivariate normal distribution with mean vector $\mu$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma$. The null hypothesis that $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ can be tested using the test statistic

$$T_2 = \frac{n_1n_2}{n_1 + n_2} (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)' S_{pl}^{-1} (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)$$

where $\bar{y}_1$ and $\bar{y}_2$ are the two sample mean vectors, $n_1$ and $n_2$ are the two sample sizes, and $S_{pl}^{-1}$ is the inverse of the pooled covariance matrix which is calculated using

$$S_{pl} = \frac{(n_1 - 1)S_1 + (n_2 - 1)S_2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

Here, $S_1$ and $S_2$ are the estimated covariance matrices calculated from the two samples.

If we make the additional assumption that $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2$, $T_2$ follows Hotelling’s $T$-squared distribution when the null hypothesis is true. That is, $T_2 \sim T_{p,n_1+n_2-2}^2$. Reject the null hypothesis if $T_2 \geq T_{1-\alpha, p,n_1+n_2-2}^2$. Note that rejecting the null hypothesis concludes that at least one pair of the p sets of group response means are unequal.
Unequal Covariance Case

When the experimental setting or a preliminary test such as Box’s $M$ test leads us to conclude that $\Sigma_1 \neq \Sigma_2$, an alternative to $T^2$ must be used. Several such multivariate Behrens-Fisher tests have been suggested in the statistical literature. Following the suggestions of Rencher (1998) derived from a large simulation study, we use the procedure suggested by Nel and van der Merwe (1986) since it was shown to have near optimal power while maintaining reasonable type-I error rates. The test statistic is computed using the formula

$$T^*2 = (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2) \left( \frac{S_1}{n_1} + \frac{S_2}{n_2} \right)^{-1} (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)$$

$T^*2$ is approximately distributed as $T_{p,v}^2$, where $v$ is given by

$$v = \frac{\text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{S_1}{n_1} + \frac{S_2}{n_2} \right) \left( \frac{S_1}{n_1} + \frac{S_2}{n_2} \right) + \left( \frac{S_1}{n_1} + \frac{S_2}{n_2} \right)^2 \right]}{\text{tr} \left[ \frac{S_1}{n_1} \right] + \left( \frac{S_1}{n_1} \right)^2 \frac{n_1 - 1}{n_1}}$$

Randomization Test

Because of the stringent assumptions that must be made when using this procedure, NCSS also includes a randomization test as outlined by Edgington (1987). Randomization tests are becoming more and more popular as the speed of computers allows them to be computed in seconds rather than hours.

A randomization test is conducted by enumerating all possible permutations of the sample data, calculating the test statistic for each permutation, and counting the number of permutations that result in a $T^2$ value greater than or equal to the actual $T^2$ value. Dividing this count by the number of permutations tried gives the significance level of the test.

For even moderate sample sizes, the total number of permutations is in the trillions, so a Monte Carlo approach is used in which the permutations are found by random selection rather than complete enumeration. Edgington suggests that at least 1,000 permutations be selected. We suggest that this be increased to 10,000.

Permutation results are provided for the equal covariance case, the unequal covariance case, and for all individual $t$ tests.

Assumptions

The following assumptions are made when using $T^2$.

1. Each population follows the multivariate normal distribution.
2. The two samples are independent.
3. The two covariance matrices are equal.

These are a set of restrictive assumptions that must be evaluated for each set of data. Box’s $M$ test may be used to test whether two covariances matrices are equal. Unfortunately, the accuracy of Box’s $M$ test is very sensitive to departures form multivariate normality (assumption 1).
Data Structure

The data must be entered in a format that places the response variables and values for the group side by side. An example of the data structure for a Hotelling’s $T^2$ design is shown below. In this example, \textit{WRATR} and \textit{WRATA} are the two response variables. \textit{Treatment} is the group variable. Note that this database has a fourth variable, \textit{Disability}, that is ignored in this analysis. This database is stored in the file MANOVA1.

\textbf{MANOVA1 dataset}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WRATR</th>
<th>WRATA</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Procedure Options

This section describes the options available in this procedure.

Variables Tab

These options control which variables are used in the analysis.

Response Variables

Response Variables

One or more numeric response variables are specified here. If two or more variables are specified, the analysis is based on Hotelling’s $T^2$. If only one variable is specified, the analysis is based on Student's t distribution. If the response variables have only two or three unique values, only use the randomization test results.

Group Variable

Group Variable

This variable contains values that identify the group to which each row belongs. A separate analysis is conducted for each unique pair of group values.

The values in this variable may be text or numeric.
Resampling

Run Randomization Tests
Check this option to run randomization tests. Note that these tests are computer-intensive and may require a great deal of time to run.

Monte Carlo Samples
Specify the number of Monte Carlo samples used when conducting randomization tests. You also need to check the ‘Run randomization tests’ box to run these tests.

Somewhere between 1,000 and 100,000 Monte Carlo samples are usually necessary. We suggest the use of 10,000.

Reports Tab

Select Reports
Means and Std. Deviations ... VC Determinants
Specify whether to display the various reports.

Report Options

Confidence Coefficient
Specify the value of confidence coefficient for the confidence intervals. This is the value of one minus alpha. The value 0.95 is commonly used. However, you can specify any value between 0.50 and 0.99999.

Variable Names
Indicate whether to display the variable names or the variable labels.

Value Labels
Indicate whether to display the data values or their labels.

Precision
Specify the precision of numbers in the report. Single precision will display seven-place accuracy, while double precision will display thirteen-place accuracy.

Report Options – Decimal Places

T2 ... Correlation Decimals
Specify the number of digits after the decimal point to display on the output of values of this type. Note that this option in no way influences the accuracy with which the calculations are done.
Example 1 – Hotelling’s Two-Sample T2 Test

This section presents an example of how to run an analysis of the MANOVA1 data shown earlier. In this analysis, two groups are to be compared on two variables: WRATR and WRATA.

You may follow along here by making the appropriate entries or load the completed template Example 1 by clicking on Open Example Template from the File menu of the Hotelling’s Two-Sample T2 window.

1 Open the MANOVA1 dataset.
   - From the File menu of the NCSS Data window, select Open Example Data.
   - Click on the file MANOVA1.NCSS.
   - Click Open.

2 Open the Hotelling’s Two-Sample T2 window.
   - Using the Analysis menu or the Procedure Navigator, find and select the Hotelling’s Two-Sample T2 procedure.
   - On the menus, select File, then New Template. This will fill the procedure with the default template.

3 Specify the variables.
   - On the Hotelling’s Two-Sample T2 window, select the Variables tab.
   - Set the Response Variables to WRATR-WRATA.
   - Set the Group Variable to Treatment.
   - Check Run Randomization Tests so that these tests will be included in the reports.

4 Specify the reports.
   - On the Hotelling’s Two-Sample T2 window, select the Reports tab.
   - Check all of the reports. (Although all reports are not necessary, we will check them all so that they can all be documented.)

5 Run the procedure.
   - From the Run menu, select Run Procedure. Alternatively, just click the green Run button.

Descriptive Statistics Section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>Standard Deviations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRATR</td>
<td>99.88889</td>
<td>78.33334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRATA</td>
<td>96.33334</td>
<td>78.11111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report provides the means, standard deviations, and counts of each group. Look the values over to be certain that the right variables were selected.
Hotelling’s T2 Test Section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariance Assumption</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>DF1</th>
<th>DF2</th>
<th>Parametric Test Level</th>
<th>Randomization Test Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equal</td>
<td>31.640</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unequal</td>
<td>31.640</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The randomization test results are based on 1000 Monte Carlo samples.

This report gives the results of the two $T_2$ tests: first for equal, and then for unequal, covariance matrices.

**Covariance Assumption**
Indicates the type of $T_2$ test displayed on this line. Either *Equal* or *Unequal* are possible. Even though you actually use only one of these two tests, both are reported here.

**T2**
The values of the two test statistics are shown in this column. The top value is $T_2$. The bottom value is $T^*_2$. Note that these value will be equal if the two sample sizes are equal.

**DF1**
This is the number of response variables.

**DF2**
For the top line, this is $n_1 + n_2 - 2$. For the bottom line, this is the value of $v$.

**Parametric Test Prob Level**
This is the significance level of the test. If this value is less than 0.05, we say that the test was significant at the 0.05 level. If the value is less than 0.01, we say that the test was significant at the 0.01 level. This result is accurate if all the assumptions of the corresponding test are met.

**Randomization Test Prob Level**
This is the significance level of the randomization test. If this value is less than 0.05, we say that the test was significant at the 0.05 level. If the value is less than 0.01, we say that the test was significant at the 0.01 level.

This result is an exact result even if the data were not obtained by random sampling. The result is accurate even if the response variables were binary.

**Individual Variables Section**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Omitted</th>
<th>T2 Others</th>
<th>Prob Level</th>
<th>T2 Change</th>
<th>Prob Level</th>
<th>T2 Alone</th>
<th>Prob Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WRATR</td>
<td>13.909</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>17.731</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>31.357</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRATA</td>
<td>31.357</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.7688</td>
<td>13.909</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report provides information about the influence of each of the individual response variables on the overall $T_2$ value. This is accomplished by calculating the change in $T_2$ when a response variable is omitted.

**Variable Omitted**
This is the name of the variable shown on this line of the report.

**T2 Others**
This is the value of $T_2$ calculated with all response variables except the variable listed to the left.
Hotelling’s Two-Sample T2

Prob Level
This is the significance level of the T2 value shown on the report to the left of this value.

T2 Change
This is the amount that T2 is reduced when the response variable shown on this line is omitted.

Prob Level
This is the significance level of the T2 change value shown on the report to the left of this value. It is computed using the fact that the change in T2 is related to an F distribution using the formula

\[ F_{\alpha, n_1+n_2-2-(p-1)} = \frac{T_p^2 - T_{p-1}^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2 + T_{p-1}^2} \]

Note that this quantity tests the drop in T2 when a variable is removed conditional on the other response variables that are included. Another way of looking at this quantity is that it tests whether the variable omitted significantly increases the distance between the two populations. See Rencher (1998) page 109 for further details.

T2 Alone
This is the value of T2 calculated when only this response variable is used. It is the square of the common t test. It is the two-sided test of the null hypothesis that the means for this variable are equal, ignoring all other variables.

Prob Level
This is the two-sided significance level of the above T2 value.

Student’s T-Test Section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>T2 or</th>
<th>Parametric Test</th>
<th>Randomization Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[Student's T]</td>
<td>Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (T2)</td>
<td>31.640</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRATR</td>
<td>5.600</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRATA</td>
<td>3.729</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The randomization test results are based on 1000 Monte Carlo samples.

This report provides the results of individually conducting a two-sided t-test on each of the response variables. You might think that since there are a series of p t-tests being employed, a Bonferroni adjustment should be applied to the significance levels. However, if these individual tests are only considered when the overall T2 is significant at the same level, such as 0.05, then their significance levels are “protected” by the T2 test and the unadjusted significance levels given here can be used.

Variable
The variable whose results are presented on this line. Note that the first line gives the overall results for T2.

T2 or [Student’s T]
The first line is the value of T2. The other lines are the two-sided Student’s t-test values.

Parametric Test Prob Level
These are the significance levels of the test statistics given to the left. Note that if the individual tests are only used when the overall test is significant, these significance levels are accurate even though several individual tests are made. The multivariate T2 test is said to “protect” the significance levels of the individual tests.

Randomization Test Prob Level
These are the results of randomization tests that are run on each of the variables. These tests are exact when the Monte Carlo sample size is large, say over 5000. These tests should be used when there is a even a hint that the
regular assumptions of the \( t \)-tests are not valid. For example, this significance level is accurate even when the response variable takes on binary values (the \( t \)-test assumes a continuous, normal response variable).

Note that these values will change from run to run. As you increase the number of Monte Carlo samples, these values will become more and more stable. You may have to go as large as 100,000 before the results remain the same from run to run. This instability is due to the our use of a random sample of all the trillions of permutations that are possible. As you increase the Monte Carlo sample size, you reduce the sampling error (and greatly increase the time it takes to generate the results).

### Confidence Intervals for the Mean Differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Lower 95.0% Bonferroni</th>
<th>Upper 95.0% Bonferroni</th>
<th>Lower 95.0% Simultaneous</th>
<th>Upper 95.0% Simultaneous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WRATR</td>
<td>21.55556</td>
<td>12.03645</td>
<td>31.07466</td>
<td>10.7665</td>
<td>32.34611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRATA</td>
<td>18.22222</td>
<td>6.139622</td>
<td>30.30482</td>
<td>4.527671</td>
<td>31.91677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report provides confidence intervals for the differences between the group means for each response variable. Two intervals are provided: Bonferroni and simultaneous.

**Variable**

The variable whose results are presented on this line.

**Difference**

The actual difference between the means for the corresponding response variable.

**Bonferroni Confidence Interval**

Bonferroni confidence intervals are based on the formula

\[
\bar{y}_{1j} - \bar{y}_{2j} \pm t_{\alpha/2, p, n_1 + n_2 - 2} \sqrt{\frac{n_1 + n_2}{n_1 n_2} s_{pl,ij}}
\]

This formula is derived by applying a Bonferroni adjustment to the regular univariate confidence interval. This adjustment is made by dividing the alpha level by \( p \), the number of such intervals to be created. These intervals are usually not as wide as the simultaneous intervals, yet still have an appropriate adjustment because of the multiple intervals that are being created.

**Simultaneous Confidence Interval**

Simultaneous confidence intervals are based on the formula

\[
\bar{y}_{1j} - \bar{y}_{2j} \pm T_{\alpha, p, n_1 + n_2 - 2} \sqrt{\frac{n_1 + n_2}{n_1 n_2} s_{pl,ij}}
\]

This formula is derived from a formula for confidence intervals for any linear combination of the mean differences, including those that are generated after looking at the data. Because of this, these confidence intervals are extra wide and may not be of must use.
Bartlett-Box Homogeneity of Variance Tests

This report provides a preliminary test of the assumption of equality of covariance matrices. If the data fails this test, you should use the unequal variance version of the $T^2$ test or the randomization test. The calculation of these tests is documented in the Technical Details section of the Equality of Covariance Matrices chapter and will not be repeated here.

Box’s $M$ test is very sensitive to non-normality. A significant value indicates either unequal covariance matrices, non-normality, or both. Hence, it is important to establish multivariate normality before concluding unequal covariance matrices using Box’s $M$ test.

The Chi-square approximation should be used when all group sample sizes are greater than 20 and $p$ is less than 6. Otherwise, the F approximation is more accurate. NCSS supplies both the multivariate Box’s M test and the individual Bartlett’s tests so that when Box’s $M$ test is significant, you can determine which variables contribute to the variance.

Covariance and Correlation Matrix Determinants

This report gives the logarithm (base $e$) of the determinant of each of the covariance matrices and the determinant of each of the correlation matrices. The assumption of equality of covariance matrices forces us to also assume that these values are equal. Box’s $M$ test compares these values.

Eigenvalues of Covariance Matrices

This report gives the eigenvalues of each of the individual covariance matrices as well as the pooled covariance matrix. Each column gives a set of eigenvalues. These eigenvalues summarize the covariance matrix into a few values. By comparing the largest eigenvalues across both groups, you can determine if the groups are different. Also, eigenvalues near zero indicate singularities in your data.
Eigenvalues of Correlation Matrices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Treatment 1</th>
<th>Treatment 2</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5718</td>
<td>1.6082</td>
<td>1.5893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4282</td>
<td>0.3918</td>
<td>0.4107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report gives the eigenvalues of each of the individual correlation matrices followed by the eigenvalues of the within-group correlation matrix. Each column gives a set of eigenvalues.

This report is useful because the eigenvalues summarize the correlation matrix. By comparing the largest eigenvalues across all groups, you can determine if the groups are different. Also, eigenvalues near zero indicate singularities in your data.

Within Group Correlations\Covariances

Within Group Correlation\Covariance For Treatment = All

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>WRATR</th>
<th>WRATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WRATR</td>
<td>66.68056</td>
<td>49.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRATA</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
<td>107.4306</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within Group Correlation\Covariance For Treatment = 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>WRATR</th>
<th>WRATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WRATR</td>
<td>68.61111</td>
<td>46.16667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRATA</td>
<td>0.5718</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within Group Correlation\Covariance For Treatment = 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>WRATR</th>
<th>WRATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WRATR</td>
<td>64.75</td>
<td>53.58333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRATA</td>
<td>0.6082</td>
<td>119.8611</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report displays correlations and covariances. The covariance matrices were labeled $S_{sp}$, $S_1$, and $S_2$ in the formulas given earlier in this chapter. The correlations are shown in the lower-left half of the matrix and the covariances are shown on the diagonal and in the upper-right half of the matrix.