Chapter 375

Mixed Models Tests for the Slope Difference in a 3-Level Hierarchical Design with Random Slopes (Level-3 Randomization)

Introduction

This procedure calculates power and sample size for a three-level hierarchical mixed model which is randomized at the third level. The goal of the study is to compare two group slopes. If the group means are identical at the beginning of the study (which they often are in a randomized trial), testing the difference in slopes is equivalent to testing the difference in means at the end of the study. This is a test of the time-by-treatment interaction.

The study is assumed to be longitudinal in that the third level is some type of cluster (e.g., clinic or hospital), the second level is subjects nested within the third level, and the first level is a repeated measurement at equally spaced time points on the subjects. Ahn, Heo, and Zhang (2015) call this a *longitudinal cluster randomized design*.

The data are analyzed by considering the average trend of responses over time. These trends can be considered as random (this procedure) or fixed (a companion procedure).

Randomization

Different models are used depending on how subjects are assigned to the intervention (treatment) groups. This procedure assumes that all subjects (level-2 units) in a cluster (level-3 unit) are assigned to an intervention group at random. This is called *level-3 randomization*. A companion procedure analyzes the case when subjects are individually randomized to one of the two groups (*level-2 randomization*).

Fixed or Random

The subject-specific slopes of responses across the repeated measurements can be assumed to be fixed or random. In this random case, each subject is assumed to have a unique slope. These slopes follow an approximate distribution. Their variation is accounted for in the calculations.

Technical Details

Our formulation comes from Ahn, Heo, and Zhang (2015), chapter 6, section 6.4.1.2, page 203-206. The hierarchical mixed model used for the analysis is

$$Y_{ijk} = \beta_0 + \xi X_{ijk} + \tau T_{ijk} + \delta X_{ijk} T_{ijk} + u_i + u_{j(i)} + v_{j(i)} T_{ijk} + e_{ijk}$$

where

- Y_{ijk} is the continuous response of the k^{th} level-1 unit of the j^{th} level-2 unit of the i^{th} level-3 unit.
- X_{iik} is an indicator variable that is 1 if *ijk*th unit is in group 1 and 0 if it is in group 2.
- T_{ijk} is an integer that represents the time period. We assume that $T_{ijk} = T_k$ and that these time values increase from 0 (baseline measurement) to M 1 (final measurement).
- β_0 is the overall fixed intercept.
- ξ is the intervention effect at baseline.
- au is the slope of the time effect.
- δ is the treatment effect of interest. It models the difference between the two group slopes.
- u_i is the level-3 random intercept effect for the *i*th level-3 unit. It is distributed as $N(0, \sigma_3^2)$.
- $u_{j(i)}$ is the level-2 random intercept effect for the j(i)th level-2 unit. It is distributed as $N(0, \sigma_2^2)$.
- $v_{j(i)}$ are the subject-specific random slopes. Their distribution is $N(0, \sigma_{\tau}^2)$.
- e_{iik} is a random error term which is distributed as $N(0, \sigma_e^2)$.
- σ^2 is the variance of Y_{ijk} , where $\sigma^2 = \sigma_e^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2$.
- ρ is the correlation among level-1 units which are in a particular level-2 unit. For fixed models like this, $\rho = Corr(Y_{ijk}, Y_{ijk'}) = (\sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2)/\sigma^2$.
- r_{τ} is ratio of two variances: $r_{\tau} = \sigma_{\tau}^2 / \sigma^2$.
- *C*₁ is the number of level-3 units (clusters) assigned to group 1.
- *C*₂ is the number of level-3 units assigned to group 2.
- *K* is the number of level-2 units (subjects) per level-3 unit.
- *M* is the number of level-1 units per level-2 unit, i.e., the number of repeated measurements.

The test of significance of the X_{ijk} T_{ijk} interaction term in the mixed model is the test statistic of interest. It tests the difference of the two slopes.

The power can be calculated using

$$Power = \Phi\left\{ \left| \frac{\delta}{\sigma} \right| \sqrt{\frac{C_2 KM \text{Var}(T)}{\left[(f_3 + r_\tau M \text{Var}(T))(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}) \right]}} - \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2) \right\}$$

where $\lambda = C_1/C_2$, $f_3 = 1 - \rho$, and Var(T) = (M - 1)(M + 1)/12.

Example 1 – Calculating Power

Suppose that a three-level hierarchical design is planned in which repeated measurements (level-1) will be taken on subjects (level-2) within hospitals (level-3). This analysis will calculate the power for detecting the difference in slopes of two interventions.

The following parameter settings are used for the power analysis: δ = 0.8; σ = 2.6; r_T = 0.1; ρ = 0.1; K = 4; M = 4, 5; α = 0.05; and C1 = C2 = 10, 15, 20, 25.

Setup

If the procedure window is not already open, use the PASS Home window to open it. The parameters for this example are listed below and are stored in the **Example 1** settings file. To load these settings to the procedure window, click **Open Example Settings File** in the Help Center or File menu.

Design Tab	
Solve For	Power
Alpha	0.05
C1 (Level 3 Units Assigned to Group 1)	10 15 20 25
C2 (Level 3 Units Assigned to Group 2)	C1
K (Level 2 Units Per Level 3 Unit)	4
M (Level 1 Units Per Level 2 Unit)	4 5
Slope Input Type	δ (Slope Difference)
δ (Slope Difference)	0.8
σ (Standard Deviation)	2.6
ρ (Within Subject Correlation)	0.1
rT (Random Slope Variance / σ^2)	0.1

Output

0 I F

Click the Calculate button to perform the calculations and generate the following output.

Numeric Reports

Numeric Results for a Three-Level Hierarchical Mixed Model

	Total	Number	of Level 3	Units	Number of	Number of	Siono	Standard	Within-	Random	
Power	Sample Size N	Group 1 C1	Group 2 C2	Total C	per Level 3 Units K	per Level 2 Unit	Difference δ	Deviation σ	Correlation	Variance / σ ² rT	Alpha
).7391	320	10	10	20	4	4	0.8	2.6	0.1	0.1	0.05
).8843	400	10	10	20	4	5	0.8	2.6	0.1	0.1	0.05
).8897	480	15	15	30	4	4	0.8	2.6	0.1	0.1	0.05
.9717	600	15	15	30	4	5	0.8	2.6	0.1	0.1	0.05
.9571	640	20	20	40	4	4	0.8	2.6	0.1	0.1	0.05
.9939	800	20	20	40	4	5	0.8	2.6	0.1	0.1	0.05
.9843	800	25	25	50	4	4	0.8	2.6	0.1	0.1	0.05
).9988	1000	25	25	50	4	5	0.8	2.6	0.1	0.1	0.05
Power		The pr The to	obability tal numb	of reje er of L	cting a false null evel-1 units.	hypothesis whe	n the altern	ative hypor	hesis is true) .	
1, C2	, and C	The nu	umber of	Level-	3 units assigned	to groups 1, 2, a	and both, re	spectively.			
<		The av	verage nu	umber	of Level-2 units p	per Level-3 unit.					
M		The av	/erage nu	umber	of Level-1 units	per Level-2 unit.					
5		The di	fference	in the t	wo aroun slopes	at which the no	wer is calcu	ilated			

 σ The standard deviation of the Level-1 responses.

ρ The correlation among Level-1 units in a particular Level-2 unit.

- rT The ratio of the subject-specific slope variance to σ^2 .
- Alpha The probability of rejecting a true null hypothesis.

Summary Statements

A 2-group 3-level hierarchical design will have subjects (level-2 units) in clusters (level-3 units, e.g., classes, clinics, or hospitals), with random assignment of clusters to each of the 2 treatment arms (level-3 randomization), and with repeated measurements (level-1 units) on each subject (over time). This design will be used to test whether the outcome trends (slopes) of the two groups are different by testing whether the 2 group means are different (μ 1 - μ 2) at the final time point, using the appropriate mean difference term of the linear mixed-effects model, assuming random slopes, with a Type I error rate (α) of 0.05. The standard deviation of Yijk (σ), assuming a fixed-slope model, is assumed to be 2.6, where (fixed-slope) σ^2 is the sum of the error term variance, the level-2 random intercept variance, and the level-3 random intercept variance. The ratio of the subject-specific random slope variance to σ^2 (V(τ) / σ^2) is assumed to be 0.1 (the variance of Yijk, assuming a random-slope model, is σ^2 + V(τ) × T[k]²). The correlation coefficient of level-1 units (repeated measurements on a subject) is assumed to be 0.1. To detect a slope difference of 0.8, with 10 level-3 units (clusters) in Group 1 and 10 level-3 units in Group 2, with 4 level-2 units (subjects) obtained from each level-3 unit, and with 4 level-1 units (repeated measurements) obtained from each level-3 unit, and with 4 level-1 measurements), the power is 0.7391.

References

Ahn, C., Heo, M., and Zhang, S. 2015. Sample Size Calculations for Clustered and Longitudinal Outcomes in Clinical Research. CRC Press. New York.

This report shows the power for each of the scenarios.

Plots Section



These plots show the power versus the level-3 count for the two values of M.

Example 2 – Calculating Sample Size (Number Level 3 Units)

Continuing with the last example, suppose the researchers want to determine the number of clusters needed to achieve 90% power for the values of M.

Setup

If the procedure window is not already open, use the PASS Home window to open it. The parameters for this example are listed below and are stored in the **Example 2** settings file. To load these settings to the procedure window, click **Open Example Settings File** in the Help Center or File menu.

Solve For C1 (Number Level 3 Units in Group 1) Power 0.90 Alpha 0.05 C2 (Level 3 Units Assigned to Group 2) C1 K (Level 2 Units Per Level 3 Unit) 4 M (Level 1 Units Per Level 2 Unit) 4 5 Slope Input Type δ (Slope Difference) δ (Slope Difference) 0.8 σ (Standard Deviation) 2.6 ρ (Within Subject Correlation) 0.1 rT (Random Slope Variance / σ^2) 0.1	Design Tab	
Power. 0.90 Alpha. 0.05 C2 (Level 3 Units Assigned to Group 2). C1 K (Level 2 Units Per Level 3 Unit). 4 M (Level 1 Units Per Level 2 Unit) 4 5 Slope Input Type. δ (Slope Difference) δ (Slope Difference). 0.8 σ (Standard Deviation). 2.6 ρ (Within Subject Correlation). 0.1 rT (Random Slope Variance / σ^2). 0.1	Solve For	.C1 (Number Level 3 Units in Group 1)
Alpha	Power	.0.90
C2 (Level 3 Units Assigned to Group 2)C1 K (Level 2 Units Per Level 3 Unit)4 M (Level 1 Units Per Level 2 Unit)45 Slope Input Type	Alpha	.0.05
K (Level 2 Units Per Level 3 Unit)4 M (Level 1 Units Per Level 2 Unit)45 Slope Input Type	C2 (Level 3 Units Assigned to Group 2)	.C1
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	K (Level 2 Units Per Level 3 Unit)	.4
Slope Input Type δ (Slope Difference) δ (Slope Difference) 0.8 σ (Standard Deviation) 2.6 ρ (Within Subject Correlation) 0.1 rT (Random Slope Variance / σ^2) 0.1	M (Level 1 Units Per Level 2 Unit)	.4 5
$ \begin{split} &\delta \text{ (Slope Difference)} & \textbf{0.8} \\ &\sigma \text{ (Standard Deviation)} & \textbf{2.6} \\ &\rho \text{ (Within Subject Correlation)} & \textbf{0.1} \\ &rT \text{ (Random Slope Variance / \sigma^2)} & \textbf{0.1} \end{split} $	Slope Input Type	.δ (Slope Difference)
σ (Standard Deviation) 2.6 ρ (Within Subject Correlation) 0.1 rT (Random Slope Variance / σ²) 0.1	δ (Slope Difference)	.0.8
ρ (Within Subject Correlation) 0.1 rT (Random Slope Variance / σ²) 0.1	σ (Standard Deviation)	.2.6
rT (Random Slope Variance / σ²) 0.1	ρ (Within Subject Correlation)	.0.1
	rT (Random Slope Variance / σ^2)	.0.1

Output

Click the Calculate button to perform the calculations and generate the following output.

Solve F Groups	For: C1 (I s: 1 = T	Number Le Freatment,	evel 3 Units 2 = Contro	s in Gro ol	pup 1)						
	Total	Number	of Level 3	8 Units	Number of Number of	Clana	Standard	Within-	Random		
	Sample	Group 1	Group 2	Total	per Level 3 Units	per Level 2 Units	Difference	Deviation	Correlation	Variance / σ ²	
Power	N	C1	C2	С	к	М	δ	σ	ρ	rT	Alpha
0.9081	512	16	16	32	4	4	0.8	2.6	0.1	0.1	0.05
				~ ~ ~		-	~ ~ ~	0.0			0.05

This report shows the power for each of the scenarios.

Example 3 – Validation using Ahn, Heo, and Zhang (2015)

Ahn, Heo, and Zhang (2015) page 207 provide a table in which several scenarios are reported. We will validate this procedure by the first row of the table. The following parameter settings were for the analysis: power = 0.80; δ = 0.3; σ = 4; ρ = 0.1; r_T = 0.1; K = 8; M = 5; and α = 0.05. These settings resulted in a value of C2 (their N₃⁽⁰⁾) of 67 and an attained power of 0.804.

Setup

If the procedure window is not already open, use the PASS Home window to open it. The parameters for this example are listed below and are stored in the **Example 3** settings file. To load these settings to the procedure window, click **Open Example Settings File** in the Help Center or File menu.

D		T - I-
Des	sign	i ab

-	
Solve For	C1 (Number Level 3 Units in Group 1)
Power	0.8
Alpha	0.05
C2 (Level 3 Units Assigned to Group 2)	C1
K (Level 2 Units Per Level 3 Unit)	8
M (Level 1 Units Per Level 2 Unit)	5
Slope Input Type	δ (Slope Difference)
δ (Slope Difference)	0.3
σ (Standard Deviation)	4
ρ (Within Subject Correlation)	0.1
rT (Random Slope Variance / σ ²)	0.1
,	

Output

Click the Calculate button to perform the calculations and generate the following output.

Numeric Results for a Three-Level Hierarchical Mixed Model Solve For: C1 (Number Level 3 Units in Group 1) Groups: 1 = Treatment, 2 = Control											
	Total Sample	Number	lumber of Level 3 Units		Number of Number of Level 2 Units Level 1 Units	Slope Standard	Within- Subject	Random Slope			
Power	Size N	Group 1 C1	Group 2 C2	Total C	per Level 3 Unit K	per Level 2 Unit M	Difference δ	Deviation σ	Correlation ρ	Variance / σ² rT	Alpha
0.8042	5360	67	67	134	8	5	0.3	4	0.1	0.1	0.05

PASS calculates the same values of C2 and power: 67 and 0.804.